

## Preparing FAIRway 2 works in the Rhine-Danube corridor

### EVALUATION RESULTS (draft)

#### Stakeholders' Forum Meeting 01 (SHFM01)

Version final\_v.0.1

Date 12.04 2021

Time 12:30 – 16:00

Place Online (<https://global.gotomeeting.com/join/946195973>)

Invitees

---

### Evaluation Sheet

Please answer the following questions by marking one of the grades. Grades are in the range 1-5, where grade 5 is the highest, while grade 1 is the lowest.

1.	Please evaluate to what extent the Forum has contributed to enabling transparency and public participation during the implementation of the Project.	1	2	3	4	5
2.	Please evaluate the performance of the Chairperson regarding provision of equal treatment of all members and observers of the Forum.	1	2	3	4	5
3.	Please evaluate the form and coherence of the information provided at the Forum meeting.	1	2	3	4	5
4.	Please evaluate the level of activeness of participants at the Forum meeting.	Passive	Moderate	Very active		
5.	Do you think that all relevant fields of interests are represented in the Forum?	Yes	No			
5.a.	If no, please elaborate which field of interest is not properly represented in the Forum.					
Free comment						

Analysis done by Ivan Mitrović

Analysis performed on 28.04.2021

# Methodology

Due to the character of the meeting (online gotomeeting), the link to the electronic evaluation form was distributed to all participants of the SHFM01.

Evaluation forms have being filled in anonymously and voluntarily.

Representatives of beneficiaries had not filled in the evaluation form.

Evaluations forms are structured in the way comparable to the evaluation sheets of the previous similar Forums, in order to achieve longterm comparability of results.

The evaluation form consist of five mostly qualitatively defined questions, which were quantified in rates or levels of performance. All questions are related to the quality of presented material and the performance of participants, in order to enable overall evaluation and identify areas and possibilities for improvements during the next Forum meetings. The last question is an open-ended question, having in mind the purpose of the Forum – to enable stakeholders to freely express their opinion regarding the Project itself and the Forum as a part of it.


## Analysis of the evaluation results for the SHFM01

The SHFM01 was attended by 18 participants. Out of 18 participants, 7 were from the beneficiary institutions, leaving 11 participants the ability to fill in the evaluation form. Only 4 out of 11 had submitted the evaluation form within the defined deadline, which is 36%. Results can be considered partially representative, but are the starting point for the tracking of the performance of the Forum.

### Q.1. Please evaluate to what extent the Forum has contributed to enabling transparency and public participation during the implementation of the Project.

1. Please evaluate to what extent the Forum has contributed to enabling transparency and public participation during the implementation of the Project.

4 responses




According to the participants` answers this Forum meeting has contributed in a very good manner to the inclusion of the general public in the Project deployment. Half of interogated participants gave the rate 4 for the successful enabling of transparency and public participation. The average rate was **4.00**. No rate was under 3, which confirms a good participative process, but directs to some changes to be incorporated for the next meeting.

**Q.2. Please evaluate the performance of the Chairperson regarding provision of equal treatment of all members of the Forum.**

2. Please evaluate the performance of the Chairperson regarding provision of equal treatment of all members and observers of the Forum.

4 responses




The Chairperson was elected during this meeting, so participants had an opportunity to evaluate his performance in terms of provision of equal treatment of all participants, as it is his major role. His performance during the Meeting was rated by all interrogated participants with the maximum grade of **5.00**.

**Q.3. Please evaluate the form and coherence of the information provided at the Forum meeting.**

3. Please evaluate the form and coherence of the information provided at the Forum meeting.

4 responses




One of the principal aims of the Forum is to exchange and disseminate information about the Project and related activities. So the common understanding and the quality of given information must be on a good level in order to achieve the meeting purpose. The form and the coherence of data was evaluated with the average rate **4.00**, and the majority (2) of participants gave the rate 4 for this issue. One participant gave the rate 3. Although it is a good rate, it also requires from organizers to pay additional attention on the form and content of prepared presentations and its bearing with the performed project activities.

**Q.4. Please evaluate the level of activeness of participation of participants at the Forum meeting.**

4. Please evaluate the level of activeness of participants at the Forum meeting.

4 responses




The Forum implies an open exchange of opinions and attitudes, so the leeway of participants at the meeting is of great value. Their contribution can improve some of the planned project activities, but also to affect the established work of the Forum ameliorating some of the noticed weaknesses. The level of activeness of participation was generally qualified as **moderate** (by 75% of interrogated participants), which shows that the participation of the present stakeholders was collaborative, but that they could or want to contribute more. One participant marked the general participation as very active. Nobody evaluated the stakeholders' involvement regarding their activity as passive, which is a really important predisposition for the future work.

**Q.5. Do you think that all relevant fields of interests are represented in the Forum?**

5. Do you think that all relevant fields of interests are represented in the Forum?

4 responses



Majority of interrogated participants (75%) thought that **all relevant fields of interests had been represented** during the Forum meeting. In relation to the previous answers, this shows that the participants are generally satisfied with the covered topics in accordance with the delivered agenda.

**Q.5.1. If no, please elaborate which field of interest is not properly represented in the Forum.**

Only one of interrogated participants wrote the comment related to proposal of involvement of scientific institutions into the work of the Forum.

**Free comment**

No free comments have been submitted.